Tafas v. Dudas et al Doc. 143 Att. 61

Case 1:07-cv-00846-JCC-TRJ Document 143-62 Filed 12/21/2007 Page 1 of 3

## EXHIBIT 21

## Final USPTO Rule on Claims and Continuations

Overview of Major Issues and Concerns

SDIPLA

October 11, 2007

## Robert J. Spar

Attorney at Law, Former Dir. (Ret'd) of Office of Patent Legal Administration, Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, USPTO

3201 Birchtree Lane, Silver Spring, MD 20906

301-460-1231 bobspar1@comcast.net 301-460-1889 (fax) 301-742-4567 (cell)

## Claims and Continuations Final Rule Four Prosecution Burdens Shifted

Situations where examination burdens have been shifted from the

When there is a large number of claims to examine: examiner to applicant; and the expected results

If more than 5/25 claims are presented

but it is expected that most applicants will not file an ESD, An ESD will be required to aid in the examination

so the net effect expected is:

elimination of almost all applications with very large numbers of claims. compliance with the 5/25 limits; and

claims before filing, in meaningfully amending claims and/or traversing application, practitioners will probably put more emphasis on draffing rejections, and in advancing prosecution issues with each response. Because only a limited number of claims will be prosecuted in an

Oct. 11, 2007

Robert J. Spar